ALAMO REGIONAL SCIENCE & ENGINEERING FAIR

Junior Team Project Division Judges Score Sheet

Judge's # Project #

Point Value

Best in Category	6
Superior	5
Excellent	4
Good	3
Fair	2
Poor	1

1.	CREATIVE ABILITY (25%) Does project show creative ability and originality? Does project show the approach to solving problems? Does project show the analysis & interpretation of data? Does project show a reliable method for solving a problem? Does project show the constructions or design of new equipment?
2.	SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT (25%) • Is problem stated clearly? • Was there a procedural plan for obtaining a solution? • Are variables clearly recognized and defined? • Are there adequate data to support the conclusions? • Does the finalist recognize the data's limitations?
3.	THOROUGHNESS (12%) Does project have a clear objective? Is the solution workable? Has solution been tested for performance under conditions of use? How completely was the problem covered? Are the conclusions based on a single experiment or replication?
4.	 TECHNICAL SKILL (12%) Where was project performed? Did student(s) receive assistance from parents, teachers, etc.? Was project completed under adult supervision? Where did equipment come from?
5.	NEATNESS AND DISPLAY (10%) · How clearly is the purpose, procedure, and conclusions expressed? · Does the project have eye appeal? · How clearly is the data and results presented? · How well does the project explain the project?
6.	TEAMWORK (16%)

· Are contributions of each member clearly outlined?

• Was each member fully involved?

ALAMO REGIONAL SCIENCE & ENGINEERING FAIR

Senior Team Project Division Judges Score Sheet

Judge's # Project #

Point Value

Best in Category	6
Superior	5
Excellent	4
Good	3
Fair	2
Poor	1

1.	CREATIVE ABILITY (25%) Does project show creative ability and originality? Does project show the approach to solving problems? Does project show the analysis & interpretation of data? Does project show a reliable method for solving a problem? Does project show the constructions or design of new equipment?
2.	SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT (25%) • Is problem stated clearly? • Was there a procedural plan for obtaining a solution? • Are variables clearly recognized and defined? • Are there adequate data to support the conclusions? • Does the finalist recognize the data's limitations?
3.	THOROUGHNESS (12%) Does project have a clear objective? Is the solution workable? Has solution been tested for performance under conditions of use? How completely was the problem covered? Are the conclusions based on a single experiment or replication?
4.	TECHNICAL SKILL (12%) • Where was project performed? • Did student(s) receive assistance from parents, teachers, etc.? • Was project completed under adult supervision? • Where did equipment come from?
5.	NEATNESS AND DISPLAY (10%)

· How clearly is the purpose, procedure, and conclusions expressed?

• Does the project have eye appeal?

· Was each member fully involved?

TEAMWORK (16%)

How clearly is the data and results presented?How well does the project explain the project?

· Are contributions of each member clearly outlined?